1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
8	* * * *
9	JOSEPH ANTONETTI,) 3:10-cv-00158-LRH-WGC
10	Plaintiff,)
11	vs.) ORDER
12	HOWARD SKOLNIK; et al.,
13	Defendants.
14)
15	Before the court is Plaintiff's Objection [to Magistrate Judge Cobb's findings in the
16	September 17, 2013 discovery hearing, see doc. #142] (#143¹), which the court will treat as a
17	motion to reconsider the Magistrate Judge's order. Also before the court is Defendants'
18	Response to Plaintiff's [#143] Objection to Court's (#142] order (#150).
19	The Court has conducted its review in this case, has fully considered the Plaintiff's
20	motion, and other relevant matters of record pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b) (1), and concludes
21	that the Magistrate Judge's ruling was neither clearly erroneous nor contrary to law.
22	The Magistrate Judge's Minutes of Proceedings (#142) will, therefore, be sustained and
23	Plaintiff's motion (#143) is denied.
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.
25	DATED this 9th day of January, 2014.
26	TADDY D. LIICUS
27	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
28	

Case 3:10-cv-00158-LRH-WGC Document 159 Filed 01/10/14 Page 1 of 1

¹Refers to this court's docket number.